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**Comments Template**

**SRC Transition Approach and Process**

1. Please state your organization’s support for the proposal to transition the RIF into the SRC:

* Support
* Support with caveats
* Oppose
* Oppose with caveats
* Neutral

1. Please comment on the proposal for the RIF to transition into the SRC under the Pathways Step 2 Final Proposal.

**Process and Timing for Potential Revisions to Sectors**

1. Please state your organization’s support for the proposal to establish and reorganize the sectors of the RIF on a transitional basis to align with the sectors of the SRC:
   * Support

* Support with caveats
* Oppose
* Oppose with caveats
* Neutral

1. Please comment on the Paper’s discussion of transitioning the current sectors of the RIF to the sectors of the SRC. What process and timing issues relating to changes in the sectors, including for the establishment of new sectors, does your organization believe should be addressed by the RIF?
2. Should the RIF implement sector changes on a transitional basis to accommodate the timing needs for the RO Board Nominating Committee under the Step 2 Final Proposal?

**Role of the RIF and Potential Changes to align with the Stakeholder Representatives Committee**

Role in Policy Initiative Identification and Prioritization (Catalog/Roadmap Processes)

1. Please comment on the role of the RIF within the CAISO’s Annual Policy Initiatives Catalog and Roadmap Process. Although the role of the RIF within the current process is similar to the envisioned role for the SRC within the RO policy initiative prioritization process, are there additional functions that the RIF should be performing as a part of the Catalog and Roadmap Process?
2. Should the RIF encourage the CAISO to administer a process whereby stakeholder statements of position or advisory votes (akin to the voting process contemplated for in the Step 2 Final Proposal) are solicited on the final Catalog/Roadmap documents?

Role in Stakeholder Initiative Phase (Stage 1 Issue Evaluation/Problem Statement and Stage 2 Policy Development)

1. Please state your organization’s support for the proposal to establish the role of sector sponsors within the stakeholder initiative process:

* Support
* Support with caveats
* Oppose
* Oppose with caveats
* Neutral

1. Please specify any considerations that you believe are relevant to establishing the role of the sector sponsor. Do you agree with how this role has been defined as set forth above?
2. Would your organization support the start of indicative voting during CAISO stakeholder processes? At what points during the process should votes be cast, *i.e.*, problem statement development, straw proposal, final proposal, etc.?

Function and Purpose of the RIF

1. Does your organization support the RIF exercising a more active role in advising the WEM Governing Body and/or CAISO regarding the positions of stakeholders on initiative topics in a stakeholder process or that are before the Governing Body? Are there procedures that your organization believes the RIF should follow in carrying out this function?
2. Do you support the RIF taking steps to move away from providing information or educational content during its meetings? Should the RIF move its focus to discussion of issues that are actively pending in stakeholder processes?
3. Please provide input on any other specific proposals that the RIF should consider to support and facilitate stakeholder involvement in the initiative process.

**Other comments**

1. Please provide comments regarding the process and timeline for the RIF Enhancements project.
2. Please provide comments regarding any other aspect of the RIF Enhancements project.