Interaction between the EIM and State Carbon and Clean Energy (CCE) Programs

> Clare Breidenich Western Power Trading Forum Western EIM Regional Issues Forum June 18, 2019

Potential Role of the ISO/EIM in Supporting State CCE Programs

- None CCE program is implemented independently of EIM, e.g.
 - California RPS implemented based on REC retirement only
- Data support ISO provides market information to state regulators to support implementation of CCE programs, e.g.
 - Transfers between EIM entities
 - GHG intensity of total EIM system and/or individual entity systems
 - Resources dispatched and deemed delivered
 - "Secondary dispatch"
- Market Design Integration ISO modifies EIM algorithm to facilitate implementation of state CCE programs, e.g.
 - Identification of EIM imports where EIM entity BA overlaps state boundaries
 - GHG adder(s) for carbon pricing
 - Improved functionality to enable Participating Resource Scheduling Coordinators to better control where output of resources is deemed delivered

Process Considerations

- Implementation schedule for state programs
 - Oregon cap and trade would have a much tighter timeframe for implementation than Washington's clean energy standard
- Technical Feasibility of some EIM options and potential consequences should be understood before state program requirements are finalized, e.g.
 - Ability to delineate Oregon border for multi-state EIM entities
 - Improved functionality to control where resource output is deemed delivered
- In other cases, state program requirements should be finalized before changes to the algorithm are considered
 - Oregon rules for EIM imports
 - Whether California and Oregon will link cap and trade programs

Considerations for Market Design Integration

- Can a CCE program be implemented effectively without integration into market design?
 - Clean energy standards could be implemented entirely outside of market
 - Market integration more important for effective implementation of carbon pricing programs that apply to electricity imports
- Would market design integration help achieve broader environmental goals?
 - Design changes made to address double-counting concerns for clean energy standards would likely hinder participation of renewable resources in EIM
- Is market design integration sustainable if more states adopt similar or different CCE programs?
 - If day-ahead market is extended to EIM entities?
- What are implications for EIM algorithm complexity and market efficiency?
 - What are the potential impacts on EIM Entities and Participating Resources in non-CCE jurisdictions?