Resource Sufficiency in the EIM

Mike Goodenough September 6, 2017



CAISO's EIM Resource Sufficiency Framework

The objectives and general structure of the Resource Sufficiency Framework are sound:

A. Three Key Tests

- 1. Energy (Balancing Test)
- 2. Capacity (Capacity Test)
- 3. Flexibility (Flexible Ramping Sufficiency Test*)

B. Defined Diversity Credit

- Reduces Resource Sufficiency requirements in a safe and equitable manner

C. Defined Confidence Level (P95)

 Market operator is 95% confident for the applicable hour that the applicable BAA has sufficient energy, capacity and flexibility to serve load and meet its imbalances on a standalone basis, net of the diversity credit

D. Failure Consequence is to Limit EIM Transfers

- <u>Prevents</u> leaning, sending <u>critical signal</u> that each BAA <u>must</u> take action ahead of hour



^{*}Powerex notes that <u>intra-hour</u> capacity requirements are currently covered by the Flexible Ramping Sufficiency Test, not the Capacity Test

CAISO's EIM Resource Sufficiency Framework

CAISO's EIM Resource Sufficiency framework supports multiple, complementary objectives:

1. Promotes Reliability

 Protects the broader EIM Area from having insufficient energy, capacity, or flexibility to meet realtime needs (as a result of entities "going short" and leaning on the EIM)

2. Ensures Fairness

- Ensures that some BAAs are not able to lean on investments in other BAAs in capacity and flexibility (instead of making their own investments, and/or bilaterally contracting for supply)
- Ensures that all BAAs are also not held to a higher standard than is necessary to ensure reliability, unnecessarily increasing their costs of reliably serving load and meeting imbalances

3. Complements Resource Adequacy Activities

 Entities continue to have appropriate incentives to contract for sufficient energy, capacity and flexibility ahead of each hour (through bilateral transactions and other forward commercial activities)



CAISO's EIM Resource Sufficiency Framework

Enhancements should <u>not</u> change <u>the level of</u> Resource Sufficiency required

1. Not all EIM BAAs are situated similarly

- Some BAAs are facing growing capacity and flexibility challenges
- Other BAAs may have surplus capacity and/or flexibility they are seeking to monetize

2. Raising or lowering the bar will create "winners and losers"

- "Increasing" Resource Sufficiency requirements may increase costs for entities that don't have surplus capacity/flexibility, while increasing opportunities for others to sell capacity/flexibility/energy
- "Decreasing" Resource Sufficiency requirements may reduce costs for some entities experiencing capacity/flexibility challenges, while reducing opportunities for others to sell capacity/flexibility/energy

3. Resource Sufficiency Enhancements should be focused on:

- 1. Improving "workability" of Resource Sufficiency Framework
- Reducing "False positives" and "False negatives"
- 3. Providing additional transparency and metrics



Potential EIM Resource Sufficiency Enhancements

Examples of enhancements that may be worth exploring:

1. Improving workability of Resource Sufficiency Framework

 Adjusting timelines to ensure entities know their specific volumetric requirements to pass RS tests, prior to applicable deadlines to take action to secure additional capacity or flexibility (i.e. T-75 offer deadline)

2. Reducing "False positives" and "False negatives"

- Are some BAAs failing the RS tests in hours where they should have passed?
 - E.g., How accurate are CAISO's calculated FRST volumetric requirements at establishing a P95 value for each BAA and for each hour of the day?
- Are some BAAs passing the RS tests in hours where they should have failed?
 - E.g., CAISO BAA has publicly reported very high import delivery failures through its intertie bidding framework in peak hours on high demand days (not covered by historic averages)
 - Should untagged, "system resource" import awards (where no physical supply may have yet been procured by the seller) perhaps be excluded from meeting the CAISO BAA RS tests?

3. Providing Additional Transparency and Metrics to Promote Further Improvements

- Should CAISO post more granular data on OASIS by BAA by hour?
- Can CAISO or DMM provide historic analysis on FRST volumetric requirements by BAA and hour of the day? Have these required quantities been consistent with <u>actual</u> demand and imbalance requirements for each BAA and each hour, at a P95 confidence level?

ipply. Flexibility. Commitment.